

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Darren Azman
Joseph B. Evans
One Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, New York 10017-3852
Telephone: (212) 547-5400
Facsimile: (212) 547-5444

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Grayson Williams (admitted *pro hac vice*)
2501 North Harwood Street, Suite 1900
Dallas, Texas 75201-1664
Telephone: (214) 295-8000
Facsimile: (972) 232-3098

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Gregg Steinman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 4500
Miami, FL 33131-2184
Telephone: (305) 329-4473
Facsimile: (305) 503-8805

*Counsel to the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	Case No. 22-10943 (MEW)
Debtors. ¹)	
)	(Jointly Administered)

**THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
CREDITORS' OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO MOTIONS SEEKING
CONVERSION OR APPOINTMENT OF A CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE**

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") appointed in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases") of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc., *et al.* (collectively, the "Debtors") hereby files this omnibus objection (the "Objection") to the: (i)

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. (7687); Voyager Digital Ltd. (7224); and Voyager Digital, LLC (8013). The location of the Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc.'s and Voyager Digital Ltd.'s principal place of business is 33 Irving Place, Suite 3060, New York, NY 10003. Voyager Digital, LLC's principal place of business is 701 S. Miami Ave, 8th Floor, Miami, FL 33131.

Motion for Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee [Docket No. 941] (the “Trustee Motion”)² filed by Michelle DiVita; and (ii) *Letter dated January 9, 2023* [Docket No. 843] (the “Conversion Motion” and, together with the Trustee Motion, the “Motions”) filed by Tracy Hendershott (Ms. DiVita and Mr. Hendershott collectively referred to herein as the “Movants”). In support of the Objection, the Committee respectfully states as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Motions should be denied because all of the concerns raised therein (i) are either resolved through the confirmation of the Debtors’ chapter 11 plan, which is being considered on the same day as the Motions, or (ii) fall short of justifying appointment of a chapter 11 trustee or conversion of these Chapter 11 Cases.

2. ***First***, the Movants seek appointment of an independent party to investigate and pursue causes of action, including avoidance actions, as well as take managerial control over the Debtors. If the Debtors’ chapter 11 plan is confirmed, then an independent party, the Plan Administrator, who was selected by the Committee in consultation with the Debtors, will be appointed to perform such duties.

3. ***Second***, given the current trajectory of these Chapter 11 Cases, the costs and expenses of a trustee would be disproportionately higher than any perceived protection afforded. If a chapter 11 trustee is appointed, the trustee will necessarily need to retain additional professionals and become intimately familiar with the Debtors’ business, operations, and ongoing crypto rebalancing and sale process. As a result, there will almost certainly be risk of delay and expense in closing the pending sale and making distributions to creditors. On the other hand, if no

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Trustee Motion.

delay occurs, then by the time the trustee becomes familiar with the business, the plan may be going effective, at which time the Plan Administrator would take control of the Debtors' affairs.

4. ***Third***, the Movants' allegations of past misconduct by the Debtors do not rise to the level of "cause" sufficient to upend the Chapter 11 Cases through appointment of a trustee or conversion. Importantly, there are no allegations of fraud, gross mismanagement, or incompetence currently in these cases that satisfies the heavy burden of appointment of a trustee or conversion.

5. To establish such burden, the Movants must demonstrate "cause" or that appointment of a trustee or conversion are in the best interests of creditors. For the foregoing reasons, and the reasons set forth below, the Movants cannot establish either basis, and the Motions should be denied.

OBJECTION

I. The Movants Cannot Meet Their Burden to Support Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee

6. Bankruptcy Code section 1104(a) provides, in relevant part, that upon request of a party-in-interest, and after a notice and a hearing, the court shall order appointment of a trustee:

- 1) for cause, including fraud, dishonesty, incompetence, or gross mismanagement of the affairs of the debtor by current management, either before or after the commencement of the case, or similar cause, but not including the number of holders of securities of the debtor or the amount of assets or liabilities of the debtor; or
- 2) if such appointment is in the interest of creditors, any equity security holders, and other interests of the estate, without regard to the number of holders of securities of the debtor or the amount of assets or liabilities of the debtor.

11 U.S.C. § 1104(a). The Trustee Motion neither establishes cause for the appointment of a trustee nor demonstrates that appointment of a trustee is in the best interests of the estates or stakeholders.

7. The appointment of a chapter 11 trustee is an extraordinary remedy,³ and the standard for such appointment is heightened. In the Second Circuit, the party seeking the appointment of a chapter 11 trustee bears the burden of proof to establish by clear and convincing evidence that “cause” exists under section 1104(a)(1) or that there is a need for a trustee under section 1104(a)(2). *Adams v. Marwil (In re Bayou Grp., LLC)*, 564 F.3d 541, 546 (2d Cir. 2009). Clear and convincing evidence is a more exacting standard, which establishes in the mind of the trier of fact a firm belief or conviction as to the allegations sought to be established. *In re Gans*, 75 B.R. 474, 482 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1987) (internal citations omitted).

8. Moreover, there is an elevated presumption that facilitates a debtor-in-possession having a fair opportunity to reorganize. *See Schuster v. Dragone*, 266 B.R. 268, 271 (Bankr. D. Conn. 2001) (internal citations omitted) (“the Bankruptcy Code favors allowing the debtor to remain in possession and operate the business.”); *see In re Anchorage Boat Sales, Inc.*, 4 B.R. 635, 645 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1980) (“Since one would expect to find some degree of incompetence or mismanagement in most businesses which have been forced to seek the protections of chapter 11, the Court must find something more aggravated than simple mismanagement in order to appoint a trustee.”). Appointing a trustee is the “last resort” and is reserved only for dire situations. *See W.R.*

³ *See In re Adelphia Communications Corp*, 336 B.R. 610, 655 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006) *aff’d*, 342 B.R. 122 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) (“It is settled that appointment of a trustee should be the exception, rather than the rule. . .”) (quoting *In re Sharon Steel Corp.*, 872 F.2d 1225 (3d. Cir. 1989)); *In re Euro-Am. Lodging Corp.*, 365 B.R. 421, 426 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007) (“The appointment of a § 1104 trustee is an extraordinary remedy”); *In the Matter of Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.*, 69 F.3d 747, 749 (5th Cir. 1995) (“the appointment of a trustee pursuant to Section 1104(a)(1) is an extraordinary remedy. . .”); *In re Patman Drilling International, Inc.*, Case No. 07-34622-SGJ, 2008 WL 724086 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. March 14, 2008) (“Appointment of a chapter 11 trustee is a draconian remedy. A strong presumption exists that a chapter 11 debtor should be permitted to remain in possession”); *Official Comm. of Asbestos Pers. Injury Claimants v. Sealed Air Corp (In re W.R. Grace)*, 285 B.R. 148, 158 (Bankr. D. Del. 2002) (“appointing a trustee must be considered as a last resort”); *see In re Marvel Entm’t Group, Inc.*, 140 F.3d 463, 471 (3rd Cir. 1998) (“Thus, the basis for the strong presumption against appointing an outside trustee is that there is often no need for one. . .”); *Official Comm. Of Unsecured Creditors of Cybergenics Corp., ex. rel. Chinery*, 330 F.3d 548, 577 (3rd Cir. 2003) (“Appointing a trustee in a Chapter 11 case is an extraordinary remedy. . .”); 7 LAWRENCE KING, COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY Sections 1104.02 [1], 1104.02 [3][d][i] (16th ed. 2022).

Grace & Co., 285 B.R. at 158 (Bankr. D. Del. 2002) (recognizing that “appointing a trustee must be considered a last resort”).

9. The Movants fail to demonstrate “cause” sufficient to warrant the appointment of a chapter 11 trustee. Moreover, given the posture of the Chapter 11 Cases, the role of the Committee, and the current chapter 11 plan, the circumstances of the Chapter 11 Cases do not support appointment of a trustee.

A. Appointment of a Trustee is Not in the Best Interests of Creditors

10. Bankruptcy Code section 1104(a)(2) authorizes a court to order the appointment of a trustee “if such appointment is in the best interests of creditors, any equity security holders, and other interests of the estate, without regard to the number of holders of securities of the debtor or the amount of assets or liabilities of the debtor. 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(2).⁴ In determining whether appointment of a chapter 11 trustee is in the interests of stakeholders, courts engage in a cost-benefit analysis. *See, e.g., Ionosphere Clubs*, 113 B.R. at 168 (courts weigh “the benefits derived by the appointment of a trustee, balanced against the cost of the appointment”); *In re Cardinal Indus.*, 109 B.R. 755, 766 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1990) (comparing “the cost of a trustee to the estate, when compared with the benefit sought to be derived”); *In re Microwave Prods. of Am., Inc.*, 102 B.R. 666, 676 (Bankr. W.D. Tenn. 1989) (same); *see also* H.R. Rep. No. 95-595 (1977) (“may order appointment only if the protection afforded by a trustee is needed and the costs and expenses of a trustee would not be disproportionately higher than the value of the protection afforded.”). In

⁴ Additionally, courts have considered the following four factors in determining whether a chapter 11 trustee should be appointed pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1104(a)(2): (i) the trustworthiness of the debtor; (ii) the debtor in possession’s past and present performance and prospects of the debtor’s rehabilitation; (iii) the confidence—or lack thereof—of the business community and of the creditors in present management, and (iv) the benefits derived by the appointment of a trustee, balanced against the cost of appointment.” *Adelphia Commc’ns Corp.*, 336 B.R. at 659 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006) (citing *In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc.*, 113 B.R. 164, 168 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990)).

evaluating the cost-benefit analysis, courts “look to the practical realities and necessities.” *Ionosphere Clubs*, 113 B.R. at 168.

11. The practical reality here is that the Chapter 11 Cases are nearing an end, with plan confirmation imminent. *See In re 1031 Tax Group, LLC*, 374 B.R. 78, 92 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007) (“A major factor in these cases affecting the Creditors best interests is the issue of time.”). If the plan, which has been overwhelmingly accepted by creditors, is confirmed, then a Plan Administrator, who is entirely independent of the Debtors, will be appointed. Appointment of the Plan Administrator is akin to what the Movants are seeking here—an independent third party to investigate and pursue causes of action. *See W.R. Grace & Co.*, 285 B.R. at 151 (rejecting motion to appoint a trustee, holding that a trustee should not be appointed “if the same result can be obtained by other means” recognizing that appointing a trustee “should be the exception, rather than the rule.”).

12. Moreover, the Plan Administrator has been selected by the Committee (in consultation with the Debtors). The Committee is comprised of customers (like the Movants). The Committee’s ability to select the Plan Administrator to step into the shoes of the Debtors was a critical component of the Committee’s negotiations with the Debtors over the plan. Indeed, under the Debtors’ original plan of reorganization, the Debtors retained control over the appointment of the board of directors of any post-confirmation entity. *See Joint Plan of Reorganization of Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc. and its Debtor Affiliates Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code* [Docket No. 17], Art. IV.F.

13. In any event, the cost of appointing a trustee greatly outweighs any benefit. The appointment of a trustee will unnecessarily delay an exit from chapter 11, and thus delay the closing of the pending sale transaction and distributions to creditors. There would be time involved

in identifying a trustee with the requisite crypto experience necessary to, among other things, continue the Debtors' operations and the ongoing crypto rebalancing that is necessary to consummate the Binance.US transaction. Once appointed, the trustee would need to become familiar with the Debtors' business and the sale transaction, as well as retain professionals, resulting in additional cost. On the other hand, there is no real benefit to the appointment of a trustee given that the trustee will more than likely be replaced by the Plan Administrator shortly after appointment. Accordingly, appointment of a chapter 11 trustee is not in the best interests of creditors or the estates. *See In re North Stay Contracting Corp.*, 128 B.R. 66, 70 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) ("The costs of a trustee will only burden this estate with additional administrative expenses and will not be in the best interests of creditors.").

B. No "Cause" Exists to Appoint a Chapter 11 Trustee

14. The appointment of a chapter 11 trustee is authorized upon the showing of "cause"—inclusive of fraud, dishonesty, incompetence, or gross mismanagement of the debtor's affairs by current management. 11 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1). These enumerated grounds do not constitute an exhaustive list of factors that warrant the appointment of a trustee; some other "[f]actors relevant to the appointment of a trustee under § 1104(a)(1) include: conflicts of interest, inappropriate relations between corporate parents and the subsidiaries; misuse of assets and funds; inadequate record keeping and reporting; various instances of conduct found to establish fraud or dishonesty; and lack of credibility and creditor confidence." *In re Ashley River Consulting, LLC*, No. 14-13406 (MG), 2015 Bankr. LEXIS 1008, at *28-29 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Mar. 31, 2015).

15. The Movants cite various prepetition misconduct such as "financial and regulatory misgivings" paired with "multiple allegations of criminal conduct" as necessitating the appointment of a "neutral, independent" trustee "with the facilitation and backing of the U.S. Government." Trustee Mot, ¶ 37. Although the § 1104(a)(1) analysis is not limited to postpetition

acts, the focus of the analysis is to be based on the debtor's current activities. *See In re Sletteland*, 260 B.R. 657, 672 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2001) (“[O]n a motion for the appointment of a trustee, the focus is on the debtor's current activities, not past misconduct”). The various allegations asserted in the Motions do not demonstrate that the Debtors are currently being victimized by fraud, gross mismanagement, or incompetence that justifies the appointment of a trustee. And the Movants have not made any such allegations. Accordingly, the Motions fall short of clear and convincing evidence that “cause” exists.

II. There is No “Cause” to Convert These Cases to Chapter 7

16. The Movants seek conversion to chapter 7 on the eve of plan confirmation, which will render all progress made to date worthless and materially impact creditor recoveries. There is no “cause” to convert these cases.

17. Bankruptcy Code section 1112(b) authorizes a bankruptcy court to convert a chapter 11 case to a case under chapter 7 “for cause.” 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b)(1). The Bankruptcy Code provides a non-exhaustive list of “cause,” including, among other things, “(A) substantial or continuing loss to or diminution of the estate and the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation” and “(B) gross mismanagement of the estate.” 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b)(4)(A)-(B). To establish “cause” these factors must have occurred post-petition, when an “estate” actually exists. *See In re SageCrest II LLC*, No. 08-50754 (AHS), 2010 Bankr. LEXIS 4592, at *11-12 (Bankr. D. Conn. Dec. 22, 2010) (observing that “all of the enumerated causes in [section 1112(b)(4)] are based on *post*-bankruptcy behavior”) (emphasis in original); *see In re Creech*, 538 B.R. 245, 248-49 (Bankr. E.D.N.C. 2015) (“The circumstances indicating substantial or continuing loss or diminution must have occurred post-petition; pre-petition events will not establish cause”); *see In re Sunnyland Farms, Inc.*, 517 B.R. 263, 267 (Bankr. D.N.M. 2014) (“The alleged gross mismanagement must occur post-petition”).

18. The Movants cite two primary bases for cause. First, that the Debtors, the Committee, and their professionals have known since the summer of 2022 that a reorganization or rehabilitation was not possible. Conversion Mot., p. 2. Second, that creditors are not being served “by continuously extending the Chapter 11 timeline.” *Id.* Such bases do not constitute “cause.” Indeed, substantial or continuing loss to or diminution of the estate alone, is not sufficient to justify conversion. *See 1031 Tax Group*, 374 B.R. at 93 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007) (“The fact there is a continuing loss to the estate, due to the mounting administrative costs and the lack of any new business entering the estate, is insufficient to establish ‘cause’ within the meaning of § 1112(b)(1)”). Section 1112(b) requires that such loss or diminution be accompanied by the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b)(4)(a) (“[1] substantial or continuing loss to or diminution of the estate *and* [2] the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation.”); *see also In re BH S&B Holdings, LLC*, 439 B.R. 342, 347 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010) (“It is not enough just to show continuing loss to the estate; the moving party must also show the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation.”).

19. The Movants fail to recognize that the original timeline in these Chapter 11 Cases provided for confirmation of a chapter 11 plan within six months of the Petition Date. Absent the unexpected and shocking demise of AlamedaFTX, that result would have been attainable. Even so, the Debtors and the Committee worked to quickly find an alternative exit from chapter 11, which is on track to occur approximately three months after the AlamedaFTX transaction failed. Accordingly, there is a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation and the Conversion Motion should be denied.

III. The Committee is Adequately Representing Creditors

20. Mr. Hendershott is among a group of creditors that desires to have a role on the Committee. The Committee, however, is not responsible for appointing members. Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code, the U.S. Trustee is responsible for appointing a committee of unsecured creditors as the U.S. Trustee deems appropriate. 11 U.S.C. §1102(a)(1). In September 2022, Mr. Hendershott contacted the U.S. Trustee to request that the Committee be expanded such that he could become a member. Such request was denied by the U.S. Trustee's office, as set forth below:

Re: Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc., et al.
Case No. 22-10943 (MEW) SDNY

Dear Mr. Hendershott:

I am writing with respect to your request, dated September 12, 2022, that the United States Trustee expand the membership of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases. Upon receipt of your request, we consulted and raised your concerns with counsel to the Committee.

After considering your request, the United States Trustee has decided not to expand the Committee at this time. We encourage you to reach out to the Committee and its counsel to raise your concerns. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM K. HARRINGTON
UNITED STATES TRUSTEE
Region 2

By: /s/ Richard C. Morrissey

21. Mr. Hendershott now seeks to reconstitute the Committee on the eve of plan confirmation disregarding the fact that on the effective date of the plan, the Committee will be dissolved. *See* Docket No. 943, p. 176 ("On the Effective Date, any statutory committee appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases shall dissolve, and the members thereof shall be released and discharged from all rights and duties arising from, or related to, the Chapter 11 Cases."). Even if Mr.

Hendershott's argument that the Committee should be reconstituted has merit, there is no benefit to appointing an entirely new Committee at this juncture in the Chapter 11 Cases.

22. The Conversion Motion also alleges that the Committee does not inform creditors of the Committee's actions. This is simply false. The Committee has held four multi-hour town halls, regularly answers questions via phone and email from creditors who contact Committee counsel, and maintains a Twitter page where updates are provided frequently. Much of these efforts are atypical for a creditors committee, but the Committee has endeavored to take a proactive approach to provide additional information to its constituency. For the most part, those efforts have been well received by the approximately one million creditors in these cases. The Committee is cognizant that creditors want complete transparency. However, the Committee is bound by confidentiality with respect to many of the issues in these Chapter 11 Cases and has endeavored to provide as much information as possible within those constraints. *See Order Clarifying the Requirement to Provide Access to Confidential or Privileged Information and Approving Protocol Regarding Creditor Requests for Information* [Docket No. 399] ("The Committee shall not be required to disseminate to any entity (as defined in Bankruptcy Code section 101(15)): (i) without further order of the Court, Confidential Debtor Information, Confidential Committee Information, or confidential and non-public proprietary information from other parties or (ii) any information subject to attorney-client or some other state, federal, or other jurisdictional law privilege (including attorney-work product), whether such privilege is solely controlled by the Committee or is a joint or common interest privilege with the Debtors or some other party.")

23. The Committee has and will continue to adequately represent creditors in these Chapter 11 Cases, and any request to remove or replace Committee members at this juncture

should be denied, in particular because the U.S. Trustee has already considered and rejected this request.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

24. The Committee reserves all rights to supplement or amend this Objection, to raise additional issues with the Motions and make additional arguments at the hearing, and to present evidence at the hearing.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Committee respectfully requests that this Court deny the Motions and grant such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: New York, New York
February 23, 2023

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

/s/ Darren Azman

Darren Azman
Joseph B. Evans
One Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, NY 10017-3852
Telephone: (212) 547-5400
Facsimile: (212) 547-5444
E-mail: dazman@mwe.com
E-mail: jbevans@mwe.com

and

Charles R. Gibbs (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Grayson Williams (admitted *pro hac vice*)
2501 North Harwood Street, Suite 1900
Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: (214) 295-8000
Facsimile: (972) 232-3098
E-mail: crgibbs@mwe.com
E-mail: gwilliams@mwe.com

and

Gregg Steinman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 4500
Miami, FL 33131-2184
Telephone: (305) 329-4473
Facsimile: (305) 503-8805
E-mail: gsteinman@mwe.com

*Counsel to the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of February 2023, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing *The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' Omnibus Objection to Motions Seeking Conversion or Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee* (the "Omnibus Objection") to be served on the Service List by (i) by electronic notification pursuant to the CM/ECF system for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, (ii) e-mail, or (iii) First Class U.S. Mail, as indicated in the attachment hereto. I further certify that I caused the Omnibus Objection to be served on this 23rd day of February 2023 upon the parties listed below by e-mail.

/s/ Darren Azman

Darren Azman

Tracy Hendershott
tokyotracy@gmail.com

Michelle DiVita
E-MAIL ADDRESS REDACTED

SERVICE LIST

Name	Attention	Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip	Country	Email	Method of Service
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	400 6TH STREET NW		WASHINGTON	DC	20001		OAG@DC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
FRANCINE DE SOUSA	C/O SISKINDS LLP	ATTN: ANTHONY O'BRIEN	100 LOMBARD STREET SUITE 302	TORONTO	ON	M5C1M3		ANTHONY.OBRIEN@SISKINDS.COM	VIA E-MAIL
FRANCINE DE SOUSA	C/O SISKINDS LLP	ATTN: MICHAEL G. ROBB & GARETT M. HUNTER	275 DUNDAS STREET UNIT 1	LONDON	ON	N6B3L1		MICHAEL.ROBB@SISKINDS.COM GARETT.HUNTER@SISKINDS.COM	VIA E-MAIL
GOOGLE, LLC		1600 AMPHITHEATRE PKWY		MOUNTAIN VIEW	CA	94043		COLLECTIONS@GOOGLE.COM	VIA E-MAIL
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE		PO BOX 7346		PHILADELPHIA	PA	19101-7346			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE	FOR THE SOUTHERN DIST OF NEW YORK	ATTN: RICHARD C. MORRISSEY, ESQ. AND MARK BRUH, ESQ.	201 VARICK STREET, ROOM 1006	NEW YORK	NY	10014		RICHARD.MORRISSEY@USDOJ.GOV MARK.BRUH@USDOJ.GOV	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION		100 F STREET NE		WASHINGTON	DC	20549		SECBANKRUPTCY-OGC-ADO@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION	NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE	100 PEARL STREET SUITE 20-100		NEW YORK	NY	10004-2616		NYROBANKRUPTCY@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION	NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE	ATTN: ANDREW CALAMARI REGIONAL DIRECTOR	200 VESEY STREET SUITE 400	NEW YORK	NY	10281-1022		BANKRUPTCYNOTICESCHR@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ALABAMA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	501 WASHINGTON AVE		MONTGOMERY	AL	36104		CONSUMERINTEREST@ALABAMAAG.GO	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ALASKA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1031 W 4TH AVE, STE 200		ANCHORAGE	AK	99501		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@ALASKA.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ARIZONA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2005 N CENTRAL AVE		PHOENIX	AZ	85004		AGINFO@AZAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ARKANSAS	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	323 CENTER ST, STE 200		LITTLE ROCK	AR	72201		OAG@ARKANSASAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 944255		SACRAMENTO	CA	94244-2550		XAVIER.BECERRA@DOJ.CA.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF COLORADO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	RALPH L. CARR JUDICIAL BUILDING	1300 BROADWAY, 10TH FL	DENVER	CO	80203		CORA.REQUEST@COAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF CONNECTICUT	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	165 CAPITAL AVENUE		HARTFORD	CT	06106		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@CT.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF FLORIDA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE CAPITOL PL01		TALLHASSEE	FL	32399		ASHLEY.MOODY@MYFLORIDALEGAL.CO	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF GEORGIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	40 CAPITOL SQ SW		ATLANTA	GA	30334			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF HAWAII	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	425 QUEEN STREET		HONOLULU	HI	96813		HAWAIIAG@HAWAII.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF IDAHO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	700 W. JEFFERSON ST, SUITE 210	PO BOX 83720	BOISE	ID	83720		LAWRENCE.WASDEN@AG.IDAHO.GOV AGWASDEN@AG.IDAHO.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF ILLINOIS	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	JAMES R. THOMPSON CENTER	100 W. RANDOLPH ST	CHICAGO	IL	60601		INFO@LISAMADIGAN.ORG	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF INDIANA	OFFICE OF THE INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL	INDIANA GOVERNMENT CENTER SOUTH	302 W WASHINGTON ST, 5TH FLOOR		IN	46204			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF IOWA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	HOOVER STATE OFFICE BUILDING	1305 E. WALNUT STREET	DES MOINES	IA	50319		CONSUMER@AG.IOWA.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF KANSAS	ATTN: ATTORNEY GENERAL DEREK SCHMIDT	120 SW 10TH AVE, 2ND FLOOR		TOPEKA	KS	66612		DEREK.SCHMIDT@AG.KS.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF KENTUCKY	ATTORNEY GENERAL - DANIEL CAMERON	700 CAPITAL AVENUE, SUITE 118		FRANKFORT	KY	40601			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF LOUISIANA	DEPT. OF JUSTICE - ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	300 CAPITAL DRIVE		BATON ROUGE	LA	70802		ADMININFO@AG.STATE.LA.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MAINE	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	6 STATE HOUSE STATION		AUGUSTA	ME	04333		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@MAINE.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MARYLAND	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	200 ST. PAUL PLACE		BALTIMORE	MD	21202		OAG@OAG.STATE.MD.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	1 ASHBURTON PLACE, 20TH FLOOR		BOSTON	MA	02108			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF MICHIGAN	DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	525 W OTTAWA ST		LANSING	MI	48906			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF MINNESOTA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	445 MINNESOTA ST, STE 1400		ST. PAUL	MN	55101		ATTORNEY.GENERAL@AG.STATE.MN.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MISSISSIPPI	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	WALTER SILLERS BUILDING	550 HIGH ST, PO BOX 220	JACKSON	MS	39201			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF MISSOURI	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	SUPREME COURT BUILDING	207 W HIGH ST	JEFFERSON CITY	MO	65101		CONSUMER.HELP@AGO.MO.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF MONTANA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	JUSTICE BUILDING, 3RD FLOOR	215 N SANDERS, PO BOX 201401	HELENA	MT	59602		CONTACTDOJ@MT.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF NEBRASKA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2115 STATE CAPITOL		LINCOLN	NE	68509			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NEVADA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	OLD SUPREME COURT BUILDING	100 N CARSON ST	CARSON CITY	NV	89701			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	NH DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	33 CAPITOL ST.	CONCORD	NH	03301		ATTORNEYGENERAL@DOJ.NH.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF NEW JERSEY	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX	25 MARKET ST 8TH FL, WEST WING BOX 080	TRENTON	NJ	08611			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NEW MEXICO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	408 GALISTEO STREET	VILLAGRA BUILDING	SANTA FE	NM	87501		HBALDERAS@NMAG.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF NEW YORK	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE CAPITOL	2ND FLOOR	ALBANY	NY	12224			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	114 W EDENTON ST		RALEIGH	NC	27603			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STATE CAPITOL, 600 E	DEPT. 125	BISMARCK	ND	58505		NDAG@ND.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF OHIO	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STATE OFFICE TOWER	30 E BROAD ST 14TH FL	COLUMBUS	OH	43215			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF OKLAHOMA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	313 NE 21ST ST		OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73105		QUESTIONS@OAG.OK.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF OREGON	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1162 COURT ST NE		SALEM	OR	97301-4096		ELLEN.ROSENBLUM@DOG.STATE.OR.US ATTORNEYGENERAL@DOJ.STATE.OR.U	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STRAWBERRY SQUARE 16TH FL		HARRISBURG	PA	17120			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF RHODE ISLAND	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	150 S MAIN ST		PROVIDENCE	RI	02903		AG@RIAG.RI.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 11549		COLUMBIA	SC	29211			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	REMBERT C. DENNIS BLDG	1000 ASSEMBLY ST RM 519	COLUMBIA	SC	29201			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1302 E HIGHWAY 14, STE 1		PIERRE	SD	57501-8501			VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

STATE OF TENNESSEE	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 20207		NASHVILLE	TN	37202-0207		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF TEXAS	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	300 W. 15TH ST		AUSTIN	TX	78701		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF UTAH	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	UTAH STATE CAPITOL COMPLEX	350 NORTH STATE ST STE 230	SALT LAKE CITY	UT	84114	UAG@UTAH.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF VERMONT	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	109 STATE ST.		MONTPELIER	VT	05609	AGO.INFO@VERMONT.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF VIRGINIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	202 N. NINTH ST.		RICHMOND	VA	23219	MAIL@OAG.STATE.VA.US	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF WASHINGTON	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1125 WASHINGTON ST SE		OLYMPIA	WA	98501		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF WASHINGTON	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	PO BOX 40100		OLYMPIA	WA	98504-00		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	STATE CAPITOL, 1900 KANAWHA	BUILDING 1 RM E-26	CHARLESTON	WV	25305	CONSUMER@WVAGO.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
STATE OF WISCONSIN	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17 WEST MAIN STREET, ROOM 114 EAST P		MADISON	WI	53702		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
STATE OF WYOMING	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	109 STATE CAPITOL		CHEYENNE	WY	82002		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
TORONTO STOCK EXCHANGE		300 - 100 ADELAIDE ST.		WEST TORONTO	ON	M5H 1S3	WEBMASTER@TMX.COM	VIA E-MAIL
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ONE ST. ANDREWS PLAZA		NEW YORK	NY	10007		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF KELLEHER PLACE MANAGEMENT, LLC	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE U.S.	950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW	SUITE 3700	WASHINGTON	DC	20530-0001		VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL
	HORWOOD MARCUS & BERK CHARTERED	500 W. MADISON ST.		CHICAGO	IL	60661	AHAMMER@HMBLAW.COM NDELMAN@HMBLAW.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
METROPOLITAN COMMERCIAL BANK	BALLARD SPAHR LLP	200 IDS CENTER	80 SOUTH 8TH STREET	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	55402-2119	SINGER@BALLARDSPAHR.COM	VIA E-MAIL
METROPOLITAN COMMERCIAL BANK	WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ	51 WEST 52ND STREET		NEW YORK	NY	10019-6150	RGMASON@WLRK.COM ARWOLF@WLRK.COM AKHERRING@WLRK.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
JASON RAZNICK	JAFFE RAITT HEUER & WEISS, P.C.	27777 FRANKLIN ROAD	SUITE 2500	SOUTHFIELD	MI	48034	PHAGE@JAFFELAW.COM	VIA ECF
STEVE LAIRD	FORSHEY & PROSTOK LLP	777 MAIN STREET	SUITE 1550	FORT WORTH	TX	76102	BFORSHEY@FORSHEYPROSTOK.COM	VIA ECF
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	BUCHALTER, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	425 MARKET ST.	SUITE 2900	SAN FRANCISCO	CA	94105	SCHRISTIANSON@BUCHALTER.COM	VIA ECF
ALAMEDA RESEARCH LLC & AFFILIATES	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP	125 BROAD STREET		NEW YORK	NY	10004	DIETDERICH@SULLCROM.COM GLUECKSTEIN@SULLCROM.COM BELLER@SULLCROM.COM	VIA ECF VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP	601 LEXINGTON AVENUE		NEW YORK	NY	10022	JSUSSBERG@KIRKLAND.COM CMARCUS@KIRKLAND.COM CHRISTINE.OKIKE@KIRKLAND.COM ALLYSON.SMITH@KIRKLAND.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
EMERALD OCEAN ISLE, LLC, AMANO GLOBAL HOLDINGS, INC., SHINGO LAVINE, AND ADAM LAVINE	C/O GOLDSTEIN & MCCLINKOCK LLP	ATTN: MATTHEW E. MCCLINTOCK, HARLEY GOLDSTEIN, AND STEVE YACHIK	111 W WASHINGTON STREET SUITE 1221	CHICAGO	IL	60602	MATTM@GOLDMCLAW.COM HARLEYG@RESTRUCTURINGSHOP.COM STEVENY@GOLDMCLAW.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
EMERALD OCEAN ISLE, LLC, AMANO GLOBAL HOLDINGS, INC., SHINGO LAVINE, AND ADAM LAVINE	C/O LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS T. TABACHNIK, P.C.	ATTN: DOUGLAS T. TABACHNIK	63 WEST MAIN STREET SUITE C	FREEHOLD	NJ	07728-2141	DTABACHNIK@DTTLAW.COM	VIA ECF
MATTHEW EDWARDS	C/O LIZ GEORGE AND ASSOCIATES	ATTN: LYSBETH GEORGE	8101 S. WALKER SUITE F	OKLAHOMA CITY	OK	73139	GEORGEAWOK@GMAIL.COM	VIA ECF
TEXAS STATE SECURITIES BOARD	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS	ATTN: ABIGAIL R RYAN, LAYLA D MILLIGAN & JASON B BINFORD	BANKRUPTCY & COLLECTIONS DIVISION PO BOX 12648	AUSTIN	TX	78711-2548	ABIGAIL.RYAN@OAG.TEXAS.GOV LAYLA.MILLIGAN@OAG.TEXAS.GOV JASON.BINFORD@OAG.TEXAS.GOV	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS		ATTN: ROMA N. DESAI	BANKRUPTCY & COLLECTIONS DIVISION PO BOX 12648	AUSTIN	TX	78711-2548	ROMA.DESAI@OAG.TEXAS.GOV	VIA ECF
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	BANKRUPTCY DIVISION	ATTN: MARVIN E. CLEMENTS, JR.	BANKRUPTCY DIVISION P.O. BOX 20207	NASHVILLE	TN	37202-0207	AGBANKNEWYORK@AG.TN.GOV	VIA ECF
VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL REGULATION	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL	ATTN: JENNIFER ROOD	89 MAIN STREET THIRD FLOOR	MONTPELIER	VT	05620	JENNIFER.ROOD@VERMONT.GOV	VIA ECF
ROBERT SNYDERS & LISA SNYDERS	C/O JOHNSON, POPE, BOKOR, RUPPEL & BURNS, LLP	ATTN: ANGELINA E. LIM	401 E JACKSON STREET SUITE 3100	TAMPA	FL	33602	ANGELINAL@JPFFIRM.COM	VIA ECF
MICHAEL LEGG	C/O MCCARTHY, LEBIT, CRYSTAL & LIEFFMAN CO.	ATTN: ROBERT R. KRACHT & NICHOLAS R. OLESKI	1111 SUPERIOR AVENUE EAST SUITE 2700	CLEVELAND	OH	44114	RRK@MCCARTHYLEBIT.COM NRO@MCCARTHYLEBIT.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA ECF
MICHAEL GENTSCH	C/O BARSKI LAW PLC	ATTN: CHRIS D. BRASKI	9375 E. SHEA BLVD. STE 100	SCOTTSDALE	AZ	85260	CBARSKI@BARSKILAW.COM	VIA ECF
ILLINOIS SECRETARY OF STATE	C/O OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	ATTN: JOHN P. REDING	100 W. RANDOLPH ST FLOOR 13	CHICAGO	IL	60601	JOHN.REDING@ILAG.GOV	VIA ECF
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE		ATTN: NATHAN HOVEY, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	DEPARTMENT OF LAW 40 CAPITOL SQUARE SW	ATLANTA	GA	30334	NHovey@LAW.GA.GOV	VIA ECF
MARK CUBAN AND DALLAS BASKETBALL LIMITED, D/B/A DALLAS MAVERICKS	C/O BROWN RUDNICK LLP	ATTN: SIGMUND S. WISSNER-GROSS ESQ. & KENNETH J. AULET	SEVEN TIMES SQUARE	NEW YORK	NY	10036	SWISSNER-GROSS@BROWNRUDNICK.COM KAULET@BROWNRUDNICK.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
MARK CUBAN AND DALLAS BASKETBALL LIMITED D/B/A DALLAS MAVERICKS	C/O BROWN RUDNICK LLP	ATTN: STEPHEN A. BEST ESQ & RACHEL O. WOLKINSON, ESQ.	601 THIRTEENTH STREET NW SUITE 600	WASHINGTON	DC	2005	SBEST@BROWNRUDNICK.COM RWOLKINSON@BROWNRUDNICK.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
ED BOLTON	C/O AKERMAN LLP	ATTN: R. ADAM SWICK, JOHN H. THOMPSON, JOANNE GELFAND	1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 37TH FL	NEW YORK	NY	10020	ADAM.SWICK@AKERMAN.COM JOHN.THOMPSON@AKERMAN.COM JOANNE.GELFAND@AKERMAN.COM	VIA ECF VIA ECF VIA ECF
JON GIACOBBE		ATTN: A. MANNY ALCANDRO	11 BROADWAY, SUITE 615	NEW YORK	NY	10004	MANNY@ALCANDROLAWOFFICE.COM	VIA ECF
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.	C/O ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP	ATTN: GREGORY WALLACH	FIFTEEN PIEDMONT CENTER 3575 PIEDMONT ROAD, N.E.	ATLANTA	GA	30305	GWALLACH@ALDRIDGEPITE.COM	VIA ECF
AD HOC GROUP OF EQUITY INTEREST HOLDERS	C/O KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP	ATTN: DAVID M. POSNER & KELLY E MOYNIHAN	THE GRACE BUILDING 1114 AVENUE OF THE	NEW YORK	NY	10036	DPOSNER@KILPATRICKTOWNSEND.COM KMOYNIHAN@KILPATRICKTOWNSEND.COM	VIA ECF
AD HOC GROUP OF EQUITY INTEREST HOLDERS	C/O KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP	ATTN: PAUL M. ROSENBLATT	1100 PEACHTREE STREET NE SUITE 2800	ATLANTA	GA	30309	PROSENBLATT@KILPATRICKTOWNSEND.COM	VIA E-MAIL
PIERCE ROBERTSON	C/O PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP	ATTN: RICHARD M. PACHULSKI, ALAN J. KORNFELD, DEBRA I. GRASSGREEN, AND JASON H. ROSELL	10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD 13TH FLOOR	LOS ANGELES	CA	90067	RPACHULSKI@PSZJLAW.COM AKORNFELD@PSZJLAW.COM DGRASSGREEN@PSZJLAW.COM JROSELL@PSZJLAW.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA ECF

STATE OF WASHINGTON	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	ATTN: STEPHEN MANNING, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	GOVERNMENT COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION P.O. BOX 40100	OLYMPIA	WA	98504-4010		STEPHEN.MANNING@ATG.WA.GOV	VIA ECF
MARCUM LLP	MINTZ & GOLD LLP	ATTN: ANDREW R. GOTTESMAN	600 THIRD AVENUE, 25TH	NEW YORK	NY	10016		GOTTESMAN@MINTZANDGOLD.COM	VIA ECF
U.S. SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION		ATTN: THERESE A. SCHEUER	100 F STREET, NE	WASHINGTON	DC	20549		SCHEUER@SEC.GOV	VIA E-MAIL
NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES	CONSUMER PROTECTION AND FINANCIAL ENFORCEMENT	ATTN: KEVIN R. PUVALOWSKI, LINDA DONAHUE, JASON D. ST. JOHN	ONE STATE STREET	NEW YORK	NY	10004		KEVIN.PUVALOWSKI@DFS.NY.GOV LINDA.DONAHUE@DFS.NY.GOV JASON.STJOHN@DFS.NY.GOV	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL VIA ECF
NEW JERSEY BUREAU OF SECURITIES	C/O MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP	ATTN: VIRGINIA T. SHEA	1300 MT KEMBLE AVENUE PO BOX 2075	MORRISTOWN	NJ	02075		VSHEA@MDMC-LAW.COM	VIA ECF
NEW JERSEY BUREAU OF SECURITIES	C/O MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP	ATTN: NICOLE LEONARD	225 LIBERTY STREET, 36TH FLOOR	NEW YORK	NY	10281		NLEONARD@MDMC-LAW.COM	VIA ECF
USIO, INC.	PULMAN, CAPPUCCIO & PULLEN, LLP	ATTN: RANDALL A. PULMAN	2161 NW MILITARY HIGHWAY SUITE 400	SAN ANTONIO	TX	78213		RPULMAN@PULMANLAW.COM	E-MAIL
BAM TRADING SERVICES INC. D/B/A BINANCE.US	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	ATTN: ADAM J. GOLDBERG, NACIF TAOUSSE, JONATHAN J. WEICHSELBAUM	1271 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS	NEW YORK	NY	10020		ADAM.GOLDBERG@LW.COM NACIF.TAOUSSE@LW.COM JON.WEICHSELBAUM@LW.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
BAM TRADING SERVICES INC. D/B/A BINANCE.US	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	ATTN: ANDREW D. SORKIN	555 ELEVENTH STREET, NW SUITE 1000	WASHINGTON	DC	20004		ANDREW.SORKIN@LW.COM	VIA E-MAIL
ATTORNEY FOR THE STATES OF ALABAMA, ARKANSAS, CALIFORNIA, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, HAWAII, MAINE, NORTH DAKOTA, OKLAHOMA, AND SOUTH USIO, INC. & FICENTIVE, INC.	C/O NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS GENERAL	ATTN: KAREN CORDRY BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL	1850 M ST. NW 12TH FLOOR	WASHINGTON	DC	20036		KCORDRY@NAA.GOV	VIA ECF
	RUSKIN MOSCOU FALTISCHEK, P.C.	ATTN: SHERYL P. GUIGLIANO	1425 RXR PLAZA, 15TH FLOOR	UNIONDALE	NY	11556		SGUIGLIANO@RMFPC.COM	VIA ECF
CELSIUS NETWORK LLC	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.	ATTN: MITCHELL P. HURLEY, DEAN J. CHAPMAN, JR.	ONE BRYANT PARK	NEW YORK	NY	10036		MHURLEY@AKINGUMP.COM DCHAPMAN@AKINGUMP.COM	VIA ECF VIA E-MAIL
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.	PAUL HASTINGS LLP	ATTN: JONATHAN D. CANFIELD	200 PARK AVENUE	NEW YORK	NY	10166		JONCANFIELD@PAULHASTINGS.COM	VIA ECF
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.	PAUL HASTINGS LLP	ATTN: MATTHEW M. MURPHY, MICHAEL C. WHALEN	71 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 4500	CHICAGO	IL	60606		MATTMURPHY@PAULHASTINGS.COM MICHAELC.WHALEN@PAULHASTINGS.COM	VIA E-MAIL VIA E-MAIL
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION		ATTN: KATHERINE JOHNSON	600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW MAIL STOP CC-9528	WASHINGTON	DC	20580		KJOHNSON3@FTC.GOV	VIA ECF
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	SENIOR ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL INVESTOR PROTECTION BUREAU	ATTN: TANYA TRAKHT	28 LIBERTY STREET 21ST FLOOR	NEW YORK	NY	10005		TANYA.TRAKHT@AG.NY.GOV	VIA ECF
VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	ATTN: RAVI SUBRAMANIAN SHANKAR	300 NORTH LASALLE	CHICAGO	IL	60654		RAVI.SHANKAR@KIRKLAND.COM	VIA ECF
	KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP								